

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/605,568	10	0/09/2003	Tim A. Matus	ITW7510.063	ITW7510.063 2567		
33647	7590	07/07/2005		EXAM	INER		
			S GROUP, SC (ITW)	HOANG	HOANG, TU BA		
MEQUON,	-	RBURG ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,		•		3742			

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/605,568	MATUS ET AL.	•
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief			
Before the Filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tu Ba Hoang	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ess
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the fol places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comfollowing time periods:</li> </ol>	llowing replies: (1) an amer Notice of Appeal (with appenpliance with 37 CFR 1.114	idment, affidavit, or other evider eal fee) in compliance with 37 CF	nce, which FR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Average event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later.	dvisory Action, or (2) the date se than SIX MONTHS from the ma	t forth in the final rejection, whichever iling date of the final rejection.	is later. In no
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WH	EN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED	WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mone earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in confiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any	n and the corresponding amount statutory period for reply original of the mailing date of the mpliance with 37 CFR 41.3	of the fee. The appropriate extension y set in the final Office action; or (2) a final rejection, even if timely filed, may 7 must be filed within two month	fee under 37 s set forth in (b) reduce any  ns of the date
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply mus	t be filed within the time pe	riod set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a	).
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	n, but prior to the date of fil	ing a brief, will not be entered be	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further	consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be	elow);		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in tappeal; and/or			he issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling		f finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a		(i) Our official Amount does not	(DTOL 204)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR		of Non-Compliant Amendment (	P10L-324).
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be</li> </ul>	e allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendme	ent canceling
the non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): how the new or amended claims would be rejected is p The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	a)  will not be entered, or ovided below or appended	r b)  will be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) rejected to:		•	
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			,
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	, but before or on the date or and sufficient reasons why	of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> the affidavit or other evidence is	<u>st</u> be entered necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of fili entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess.	o overcome all rejections u	nder appeal and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
40 M The efficient and the environment of antered An explana			

## AFFIDAVIT OR OTHE

- 8. The affidavit or o because applica and was not earl
- The affidavit or of entered because showing a good
- 10. 🔀 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. 🖸 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached.
- 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

13. Other:

Tu Ba Hoang Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3742

Art Unit: 3742

The reply filed June 23, 2005 now has included the Declaration of inventor Joseph C. Schneider (whose was also a co-inventor on U.S. Patent 5,866,869 and on the instant patent application) under 37 C.F.R. § 1.132 in support of Applicant's remarks filed March 07, 2005.

However, since the claims (i.e., claims 1-13, 15-18, and 20-22) have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) based on the U.S. Patent 5,866,869, there is a time bar under 35 U.S.C. 102(b). In re DeBaun, 687 F.2d 459, 214 USPQ 933 (CCPA 1982) (citing In re Katz, 687 F.2d 450, 215 USPQ 14 (CCPA 1982)) and since as Applicant acknowledged, the reference states that the reference number 100 is "a torch", and also refers to 100 as the "the body of torch" (see page 5 of the Reply).

The Declaration set forth can not be used to overcome the rejection and therefore all of the rejections made in the Final rejection are considered proper and thereby are remained and the status of the claims are as follow:

Claims 1-12, 15-18, and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Schneider et al (US 5,866,869).

Claims 14 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Schneider et al.